

THE REYNAL LAW FIRM  
F. ANDINO REYNAL  
Admitted Pro Hoc Vice  
917 Franklin St., Sixth Floor  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Phone: (713) 228-5900  
Email: areynal@frlaw.us  
*Attorneys for the Luigi Montes*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUIGI J. MONTES,

Defendant.

Case No. 23-cr-00002-RFB-VCf

**Stipulation to Continue Sentencing  
(First Request)**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Jason M. Frierson United States Attorney for the District of Nevada, and Jim Fang, Assistant United States Attorney, and F. Andino Reynal, counsel for Defendant Luigi Montes, that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for June 5, 2023, at 8:00 a.m. (ECF No. 102) is continued for no sooner than 60 days, to a date and time convenient to this Court. This stipulation is made and based upon the following:

1. Mr. Montes entered a change of plea on February 16, 2023, pleading guilty to Count One of the Criminal Information, Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1341. ECF Nos. 102.
2. Sentencing is currently scheduled for June 5, 2023, at 8:00 a.m. ECF No. 102
3. Probation released its draft PSR on April 25, 2023. Defense counsel is still in the process of obtaining various documentation relevant to Mr. Montes' sentencing memorandum and hearing.

4. Mr. Montes is on release and does not oppose the continuance request.
5. This is the first request for a continuance of the sentencing hearing.
6. The additional time requested herein is sought in good faith and not for purposes of delay.
7. The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b)(2), which states that the “court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule.” Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not implicate or undermine the defendant’s speedy trial rights under the United States Constitution, which terminated upon conviction. *See Betterman v. Montana*, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 1617-18 (2016).
8. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for Mr. Montes sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

DATED this 4th day of May, 2022.

/s/ Jim Fang.  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Counsel for the United States

/s/ F. Andino Reynal  
F. Andino Reynal, ESQ.  
Counsel for Defendant Montes

#### CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 4th day of May, 2023, a copy of this motion was served on all counsel of record by the Court’s ECF service.

/s/ F. Andino Reynal

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUIGI J. MONTES,

Defendant.

Case No. 23-cr-00002-RFB-VCF

**FINDINGS OF FACT,  
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND  
ORDER.**

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

Based on the pending stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. Mr. Montes entered a change of plea on February 16, 2023, pleading guilty to Count One of the Criminal Information, Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. §1341. ECF Nos. 102, 103.
2. Sentencing is currently scheduled for June 5, 2023, at 8:00 a.m. ECF No. 102.
3. Defense counsel is also in the process of obtaining various documentation relevant to Mr. Montes' sentencing memorandum and hearing.
4. Mr. Montes is on release and does not oppose the continuance request.
5. This is the first request for a continuance of the sentencing hearing.
6. The additional time requested herein is sought in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

- 1 7. The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P.  
2 32(b)(2), which states that the “court may, for good cause, change any time limits  
3 prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule.” Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not  
4 implicate or undermine the defendant’s speedy trial rights under the United States  
5 Constitution, which terminated upon conviction. *See Betterman v. Montana*, 136 S.Ct.  
6 1609, 1617-18 (2016).
- 7 8. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for Mr. Montes sufficient time  
8 to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence.  
9 Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

**ORDER**

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that Mr. Montes' sentencing hearing currently scheduled for June 5, 2023, at 8:00 a.m., be VACATED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentencing hearing is reset for July 7, 2023 at 9:00 am before Judge Richard F. Boulware.

DATED: this the 8th day of May, 2023

A stylized, handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'RFB' with a large, looping 'B'.

---

THE HONORABLE RICHARD F. BOULWARE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE